UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/683,600	01/24/2002	Scott C. Harris	Connect-Net 6414	
23844 SCOTT C HAR	7590 07/21/201 RRIS	EXAMINER		
	Scott C Harris, Inc	JACKSON, BLANE J		
	e, CA 92067-1389	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2618	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/21/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

scott@harrises.com schuspto@gmail.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/683,600	HARRIS, SCOTT C.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
BLANE J. JACKSON	2618		

BLANE	J. JACKSON	2618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the	ne cover sheet with the d	orrespondence addi	ess
THE REPLY FILED 06 July 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION	N IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with a for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114 periods:	e day as filing a Notice of A 1) an amendment, affidavit appeal fee) in compliance v	Appeal. To avoid aban , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final	l rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than S Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	tion, or (2) the date set forth i	date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which thave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	d the corresponding amount o statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance wit filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension their Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the tamental AMENDMENTS	reof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior t (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	n and/or search (see NOT	E below);	
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form form appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponant 			e issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	iamig mamibor or imany roje	otog olamio.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See a	ttached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if non-allowable claim(s). 	submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmen	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an ex	planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before of because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was	<u>all</u> rejections under appea not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the s REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	tatus of the claims after er	itry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NO See Continuation Sheet.	OT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO/SB/13. ☐ Other:	08) Paper No(s)		
	Blane J Jackson/ rimary Examiner, Art U	nıt 2618	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the Examiner does not agree with the applicant's interpretation the "first electronically operated device" includes a telephone handset and networked computer. The Disclosure defines a telephone handset networked to a computer to perform the call control functions but does not define "a first electronically operated device" comprising a telephone function and a computer. Claim 13 defines a first electronically operated device, including a telephone function that controls receiving a telephone call but does not also comprise a computer. Claim 13 does identify a computer with or without the "telephone function". Dependent claim 27 indicates "a computer, remote from said electronically operated device, said second computer connected to said network, and including automatic voice recognition capability. This "computer remote from said electronically operated device" provides the call functions as defined in the Disclosure and is clearly NOT part of "the electronically operated device". The annotated figure 1 of the applicant's response is not accepted as being new matter.